Article 92

Technical Note #53 from Watershed Protection Techniques. 1(4): 210-213

## Pollutant Dynamics Within Stormwater Wetlands: Plant Uptake

Plants in a constructed wetland function to physically slow the flow of water and cause suspended particles to fall out; provide a substrate on which associated microbes assimilate organics, metals, and nutrients; and take up pollutants from the sediment into the roots. It is arguable whether this last function is really desirable in either constructed or natural wetlands.

A key management question is whether pollutants that are deposited in wetland sediments are incorporated into wetland plant tissue. Will toxic metals and hydrocarbons interfere with plant growth and nutrient uptake? Pollutants that are deposited in the stormwater wetland can remain in the pond muck, be taken up by plant roots below ground, or be taken up into the shoots (Figure 1). Will nutrients be released back into the water when the plants die back in the fall? Is there a risk that waterfowl that feed on wetland plants will be affected? Which plants are most sensitive to metal pollutants and which are most efficient at accumulating pollutants? A study by the city of Seattle (1993) addresses some of these questions.

The South Base bus maintenance site is a good example of a hydrocarbon "hotspot" in the sense that while good stormwater practices are in place and the site is well managed, it is an area of high impervious cover and vehicular traffic: 18.5 acres of vehicle maintenance area and parking lots. The city converted a dry detention pond to a 0.56 acre constructed wetland in 1988 in order to improve outflow water quality and study plant uptake of zinc, lead, and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). Five plant species were chosen for intensive study: common cattail (*Typha latifolia*), water flag (*Iris pseudacorus*), burreed (*Sparganium* sp. ), blunt spike-rush (*Eleocharis ovata*), and hardstem bulrush (*Scirpus acutus*) which grew in monospecific stands in the pond.

Both the amount of pollutants taken up and the area covered by the different species were measured in order to find the species that is most efficient for pollutant removal (having highest uptake per area of cover). Daily and seasonal changes in water level, rainfall, and plant biomass were recorded. During the summer, whole plant specimens were harvested, and samples of above- and below-ground tissue and surrounding soil underwent chemical analysis. Samples were analyzed for lead, zinc, TPH, nitrogen, and phosphorus. The data were analyzed separately for roots and shoots and pooled for whole plant uptake. South Base Pond plants and sediments were compared with uncontaminated controls. Summarized results for cattail are presented in Table 1.

Of the five species at South Base wetland, cattail was most efficient at taking up pollutants. While concentrations of lead, zinc, and TPH were actually highest in bureed tissue, cattail was more vigorous and therefore had the greatest pollutant uptake per area of cover. Pollutant concentrations were also high in spike-rush tissue but this species ranked fourth in vigor. Whether this or any species was growing at less than full potential because of its high pollutant uptake is a question not addressed in this study.

Previous research has indicated that metal uptake is species specific, and for most aquatic plants the bulk of pollutants are stored in the roots and not the stems and leaves (although zinc is more mobile than lead (Lepp, 1981)). This finding was confirmed for the five wetland plants at South Base. The key result of this study is that concentrations of TPH, zinc, and lead were higher in the root than the shoot (Figure 2). Biofiltration by plants only works if the pollutants are settling to the bottom plants do not take up appreciable amounts from the



Figure 1: Pollutant Pathways in a Wetland