



## Article 115

Technical Note #65 from *Watershed Protection Techniques*. 2(1): 299-301

# Pollutant Removal Pathways in Florida Swales

**G**rass swales are essentially living filters and are thought to be an ideal practice for treating the quality of stormwater runoff. The shallow flow of runoff through grass blades and across soils should provide optimum conditions for pollutant removal. Why then do grass swales exhibit such mediocre performance in removing soluble nutrients and metals from urban stormwater? Prior swale monitoring studies in such diverse locales as Florida, Virginia, Maryland, and Washington have all shown a very limited capability to remove these soluble pollutants (removal rates of 0 to 40%) unless the majority of runoff infiltrates into underlying soils, and effectively disappears (See MWCOG, 1983).

Some answers to this vexing question can be found in the experiments of a team of researchers in the Orlando, FL area (Yousef *et al.*, 1985). Although the Central Florida study is nearly a decade old, its results have not been widely disseminated, and can help in understanding the pollutant removal dynamics within grass swale.

The team took an experimental approach in which they selected two representative test swales. Each test swale was long, and had gentle slopes and moderate

infiltration rates (Table 1). Each test swale was then spiked with a known concentration and volume of simulated urban runoff in a series of six experiments. The experiments simulated flow events that ranged from 0 to 2.8 watershed inches of runoff through the swale. This feat was accomplished using a submersible pump to withdraw water from an existing runoff pond, and then distribute it through the test swales for a period of approximately four hours. Samples were collected at various points along the length of each swale, and the change in runoff volume and pollutant concentration were analyzed with respect to distance to determine pollutant removal rates.

### Soluble Nutrients

The results of the experiments were generally consistent with other swale studies that showed little capability to reduce the concentration of soluble forms of nitrogen and phosphorus as they passed through the swale. As can be seen in Table 2, little or no reduction in soluble nutrient concentration was observed, despite the fact that runoff took 30 to 60 minutes to traverse the several hundred feet of each swale. Yousef and his colleagues also examined the longitudinal trend in soluble nutrient concentrations through each swale, and found that concentrations slightly increased, decreased or stayed the same, and showed no discernible pattern.

The bulk of the observed pollutant removal in the swales could be accounted for by simple infiltration of runoff through the bottom of the swale. Indeed, a cursory glance at Table 3 shows that total removal rates and the fraction of total runoff infiltrated into the swale bottom were essentially identical. Low velocities that provide sufficient time for extensive infiltration appear to be essential to achieve high removal rates. When infiltration was low or modest, removal of soluble pollutants was generally quite poor. This implies that the major pollutant removal mechanism in swales is an underground one (infiltration) and not necessarily a surface one (filtering and adsorption).

The behavior of soluble phosphorus through the test swales underscores this point. The concentration of phosphorus in the swale was quite variable (Table 2), showing small increases and decreases along the length of the swale. In general, the soluble phosphorus concentrations in the swale were actually higher than the

**Table 1: Characteristics of the Two Test Swales Through Experimental Swale Systems**

Swale Characteristic	Maitland	EPCOT
<b>Length</b>	160 feet	550 Feet
<b>Water Table</b>	Low	High
<b>Infiltration Rate (in/hr)</b>	1.4	0.5
<b>Vegetation</b>	short, dense bahia grass	only 20 to 80% grass cover—remainder is exposed earth
<b>Soils</b>	sandy, very low clay and organic matter	sandy w/ higher organic matter
<b>Residence Time (minutes)</b>	30 to 60	30 to 60
<b>Slope</b>	less than 1%	less than 1%